

About shills, trolls, and the so-called “good guys”.

(continued from the “Foreword” chapter)

I am obliged to mention that a long time ago I sent several copies of this book (in its complete form) to various prominent 9/11 “scholars” – those, who nowadays head various “truth-seeking” 9/11 societies. I wanted either to inform them of my findings, to obtain their opinions, or even hopefully to obtain their help in publishing it.

I was surprised, however, that there was absolutely no reaction from any of them. Considering that the book, in fact, contained extremely explosive information that any true 9/11 researcher would die for, and especially taking into consideration that these “scholars” seemed to supposedly devote their very lives to this particular research and to 9/11 “truth-seeking”.

Now, I am no longer surprised by their indifference. They did not even bother to contact me anymore, despite acknowledging having received the materials. The problem is that in the U.S. all those “truth-seeking” 9/11 societies are headed by some special “trustworthy” people secretly appointed by the FBI that have the task to prevent such “truth-seeking societies” from ever finding the actual truth about 9/11.

It is easy to understand, if you take into consideration modern political technologies, one of them being *“the best way to control the opposition is to lead it ourselves”*.

Of course, I have to say here at least a couple of words about shills.

Actually, I noticed existence of the Internet shills (or full-time Internet-forum “trolls”, if you prefer to call them “trolls”) a very long time ago – from approximately the end of 2007. Before the end of 2007 I simply did not believe in the existence of such shills and trolls and if someone would tell me about them I would probably disbelieve. The notion that such Internet shills could exist was too strange for me to believe.

Because of my participation in various serious discussions on the Internet, I began to notice certain systematic “trolling” on the Internet forums that could not have been “accidental”. I began to analyze the typical behavior of suspected shills, cooperation between suspected shills, the time of their reaction to “dangerous” discussions, the appearance of shills’ reinforcements in order to get the numerical superiority in discussions, and so on, trying to figure out a system behind their activities. Soon, maybe in a couple of months, I was certain – shills DID EXIST. In another couple of months I trained myself to distinguish shills from the very moment of their entering an Internet discussion.

I could say that I achieved significant results in this particular field – soon I was able to spot a shill after his third, sometimes even after his second post on any Internet-forum and I have never made any mistake – I could clearly distinguish an innocent skilled debater from a skilled shill. Moreover, I concluded that the shills apparently received certain professional training prior to their dispatch to the field and because of this training they acquired some qualities that could be easily noticed in their behavior (thus, actually, betraying them as shills).

However, even though I personally was convinced that the shills did exist (and not just “convinced”, but also able to spot them at once and even to classify them) by the year 2008, I was not able to convince the rest of the Internet community as to the shills’ existence.

When I tried to alarm people that the existence of the shills is a reality that had to be dealt with, I noticed that the most of the people (if not to say the absolute majority of them) did not believe me, moreover, thinking that I might suffer from a certain “persecution mania” or something of this kind.

I was trying hard to increase the awareness of the Internet community about the shills from 2008 and up to 2011, but my efforts brought very little results: only a few people seemed to accept the fact that the shills indeed existed, but even those few seemed not to realize the true extent of the shills’ penetration of the Internet and it seemed that none of my listeners was able to master the “shills recognition technique” that I developed back in 2008. I understand their innocence – the mere fact of the shills’ existence in the wilderness of the Internet was so odd and so unbelievable that it was hard to convince oneself of this notion...

Anyway, it all changed in April 2012 with an unprecedented confession of a certain ex-shill that was published on the Internet.

Do not underestimate the importance of this confession, please. It is indeed so important and so unprecedented that even if George W. Bush or Condoleezza Rice would appear in TV and publicly admit that aluminum could not penetrate steel and that the United States leadership was duped by digital planes, even then, the abovementioned confession of the former shill would be more important in comparison.

The shill revealed nothing less than the Freemasonic *modus operandi* that is supposed to be kept secret forever from the people. Yes, clever people might *suspect* that the shills exist, but, still, they must have *no proof* of it. This is the main point. However, the confession of the former shill in this case provided nothing less than the *proof*. It is indeed very serious. So serious, that I sincerely hope the former shill is still alive. His revelation is such a big blow to the so-called “good guys” that it could be punishable by death.

Not surprisingly, today (noticed in August 2013 by one of my friends who reviewed a preliminary edition of this book) the testimony of the shill on the web page specified below¹ is being preceded by the following statement:

“Thread is close and hoaxed. The author admitted in a private exchange that the entire story was fabricated.”

The so-called “good guys” are serious. Besides, they know psychology very well. They know if they simply remove the text of the shill’s confession, it would serve as a proof that the actual story was genuine. Moreover, due to its being removed, this story would be at one elevated to the status of “seditious” and it would be re-published by many people on many other web sites with corresponding comments. Thus, the maximum the Freemasons could do in to minimize the damage, considering the circumstances, was to keep the original revelation in place, but to suggest it was allegedly a “hoax” + add an [unproven] claim that the turncoat shill allegedly “admitted” something in an alleged so-called “private exchange” + closing commenting on the seditious thread. They just could not afford to do more than that without aggravating the situation. However, you shall not be duped by the above addition; a serious person shall be cynical when analyzing the reality. And I sincerely hope that the reader of this book is serious enough to duly appreciate both – the recent attempt of the so-called “good guys” mentioned above and the original statement of the ex-shill mentioned below.

I think it is important to quote here the entire confession that was published on this Internet forum:
<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread826545/pg1>

Here is its original text:

“I am writing here to come out of the closet as a paid shill. For a little over six months, I was paid to spread disinformation and argue political points on the Internet. This site, ATS, was NOT one that I was assigned to post on, although other people in the same organization were paid to be here, and I assume they still walk among you. But more on this later.

I quit this job in the latter part of 2011, because I became disgusted with it, and with myself. I realized I couldn’t look myself in the mirror anymore. If this confession triggers some kind of retribution against me, so be it. Part of being a real man in this world is having real values that you stand up for, no matter what the consequences.

My story begins in early 2011. I had been out of work for almost a year after losing my last job in tech support. Increasingly desperate and despondent, I jumped at the chance when a former co-worker called me up and said she had a possible lead for me. “It is an unusual job, and one that requires secrecy. But the pay is good. And I know you are a good writer, so it’s something you are suited for.” (Writing has always been a hobby for me). She gave me only a phone-number and an address, in one of the seedier parts of San Francisco, where I live. Intrigued, I asked her for the company’s URL and some more info. She laughed. “They don’t have a website. Or even a name. You’ll see. Just tell them I referred you.” Yes, it sounded suspicious, but long-term joblessness breeds desperation, and desperation has a funny way of overlooking the suspicious when it comes to putting food on the table.

¹ <http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread826545/pg1>

The next day, I arrived at the address – the third floor in a crumbling building. The appearance of the place did not inspire confidence. After walking down a long, filthy linoleum-covered corridor lit by dimly-flickering halogen, I came to the entrance of the office itself: a crudely battered metal door with a sign that said “United Amalgamated Industries, Inc.” I later learned that this “company” changed its name almost monthly, always using bland names like that which gave no strong impression of what the company actually does. Not too hopeful, I went inside. The interior was equally shabby. There were a few long tables with folding chairs, at which about a dozen people were tapping away on old, beat-up computers. There were no decorations or ornaments of any type: not even the standard-issue office ficus trees or plastic ferns. What a dump. Well, beggars can’t be choosers.

The manager, a balding man in his late forties, rose from the only stand-alone desk in the room and came forward with an easy smile. “You must be Chris. Yvette [my ex-co-worker] told me you’d be coming.” [Not our real names]. “Welcome. Let me tell you a little about what we do.” No interview, nothing. I later learned they took people based solely on referral, and that the people making the referrals, like my ex-colleague Yvette, were trained to pick out candidates based on several factors including ability to keep one’s mouth shut, basic writing skills, and desperation for work.

We sat down at his desk and he began by asking me a few questions about myself and my background, including my political views (which were basically non-existent). Then he began to explain the job. “We work on influencing people’s opinions here,” is how he described it. The company’s clients paid them to post on Internet message boards and popular chatrooms, as well as in gaming forums and social networks like Facebook and MySpace. Who were these clients? “Oh, various people,” he said vaguely. “Sometimes private companies, sometimes political groups.” Satisfied that my political views were not strong, he said I would be assigned to political work. “The best people for this type of job are people like you, without strong views,” he said with a laugh. “It might seem counterintuitive, but actually we’ve found that to be the case.” Well, OK. Fine. As long as it comes with a steady paycheck, I’d believe whatever they wanted me to believe, as the guy in Ghostbusters said.

After discussing pay (which was much better than I’d hoped) and a few other details, he then went over the need for absolute privacy and secrecy. “You can’t tell anyone what we do here. Not your wife, not your dog.” (I have neither, as it happens.) “We’ll give you a cover story and even a phone number and a fake website you can use. You will have to tell people you are a consultant. Since your background is in tech support, that will be your cover job. Is this going to be a problem for you?” I assured him it would not. “Well, OK. Shall we get started?”

“Right now?” I asked, a bit taken aback.

“No time like the present!” he said with a hearty laugh.

The rest of the day was taken up with training. Another staff member, a no-nonsense woman in her thirties, was to be my trainer, and training would only last two days. “You seem like a bright guy, you’ll get the hang of it pretty fast, I think,” she said. And indeed, the job was easier than I’d imagined. My task was simple: I would be assigned to four different websites, with the goal of entering certain discussions and promoting a certain view. I learned later that some of the personnel were assigned to internet message boards (like me), while others worked on Facebook or chatrooms. It seems these three types of media each have different strategy for shilling, and each skill concentrates on one of the three in particular.

My task? “To support Israel and counter anti-Israeli, anti-Semitic posters.” Fine with me. I had no opinions one way or another about Israel, and who likes anti-Semites and Nazis? Not me, anyway. But I didn’t know too much about the topic. “That’s OK,” she said. “You’ll pick it up as you go along. For the most part, at first, you will be doing what we call “meme-patrol.” This is pretty easy. Later if you show promise, we’ll train you for more complex arguments, where more in-depth knowledge is necessary.”

She handed me two binders with sheets enclosed in limp plastic. The first was labeled simply “Israel” in magic-marker on the cover, and it had two sections. The first section contained basic background info on the topic. I would have to read and memorize some of this, as time went on. It had internet links for further reading, essays and talking points, and excerpts from some history books. The second, and larger, section was called “Strat” (short for “strategy”) with long lists of “dialogue pairs.” These were specific responses to specific postings. If a poster wrote something close to “X,” we were supposed to respond with something close to “Y.” “You have to mix it up a bit, though,” said my trainer. “Otherwise it gets too obvious. Learn to use a thesaurus.” This section also contained a number of hints for de-railing conversations that went too far away from what we were attempting. These strategies included various forms of personal attacks, complaining to the forum moderators, smearing the characters of our

opponents, using images and icons effectively, and even dragging the tone of the conversation down with sexual innuendo, links to pornography, or other such things. "Sometimes we have to fight dirty," our trainer told us. "Our opponents don't hesitate to, so we can't either."

The second binder was smaller, and it contained information specific to the web sites I would be assigned to. The sites I would work were: Godlike Productions, Lunatic Outpost, CNN news, Yahoo News, and a handful of smaller sites that rotated depending on need. As stated, I was NOT assigned to work ATS (although others in my group were), which is part of the reason I am posting this here, rather than elsewhere. I wanted to post this on Godlike Productions at first, but they have banned me from even viewing that site for some reason (perhaps they are onto me?). But if somebody connected with this site can get the message to them, I think they should know about it, because that was the site I spent a good 70% of my time working on.

The site-specific info in the second binder included a brief history of each site, including recent flame-wars, as well as info on what to avoid on each site so as not to get banned. It also had quite detailed info on the moderators and the most popular regged posters on each site: location (if known), personality type, topics of interest, background sketch, and even some notes on how to "push the psychological buttons" of different posters. Although I didn't work for ATS, I did see they had a lot of info on your so-called "WATS" posters here (the ones with gold borders around their edges). "Focus on the popular posters," my trainer told me. "These are the influential ones. Each of these is worth 50 to 100 of the lesser known names." Each popular poster was classified as "hostile," "friendly," or "indifferent" to my goal. We were supposed to cultivate friendship with the friendly posters as well as the mods (basically, by brownnosing and sucking up), and there were even notes on strategies for dealing with specific hostile posters. The info was pretty detailed, but not perfect in every case. "If you can convert one of the hostile posters from the enemy side to our side, you get a nice bonus. But this doesn't happen too often, sadly. So mostly you'll be attacking them and trying to smear them."

At first, like I said, my job was "meme-patrol." This was pretty simple and repetitive; it involved countering memes and introducing new memes, and didn't demand much in-depth knowledge of the subject. Mostly just repetitive posting based on the dialogue pairs in the "Strat" section of the first binder. A lot of my job was de-railing and spamming threads that didn't go our way, or making accusations of racism and anti-Semitism. Sometimes I had to simply lie and claim a poster said something or did something "in another thread" they really hadn't said or done. I felt bad about this...but in the end I felt worse about the possibility of losing the first job I'd been able to get since losing my "real" job.

The funny thing was, although I started the job with no strong opinions or political views, after a few weeks of this I became very emotionally wedded to the pro-Israel ideas I was pushing. There must be some psychological factor at work...a good salesman learns to honestly love the products he's selling, I guess. It wasn't long before my responses became fiery and passionate, and I began to learn more about the topic on my own. "This is a good sign," my trainer told me. "It means you are ready for the next step: complex debate."

The "complex debate" part of the job involved a fair amount of additional training, including memorizing more specific information about the specific posters (friendly and hostile) I'd be sparring with. Here, too, there were scripts and suggested lines of argument, but we were given more freedom. There were a lot of details to this more advanced stage of the job – everything from how to select the right avatar to how to use "demotivationals" (humorous images with black borders that one finds floating around the web). Even the proper use of images of cats was discussed. Sometimes we used faked or photo-shopped images or doctored news reports (something else that bothered me).

I was also given the job of trying to find new recruits, people "like me" who had the personality type, ability to keep a secret, basic writing/thinking skills, and desperation necessary to sign on a skill. I was less successful at this part of the job, though, and I couldn't find another in the time I was there.

After a while of doing this, I started to feel bad. Not because of the views I was pushing (as I said, I was first apolitical, then pro-Israel), but because of the dishonesty involved. If my arguments were so correct, I wondered, why did we have to do this in the first place? Shouldn't truth propagate itself naturally, rather than through, well...propaganda? And who was behind this whole operation, anyway? Who was signing my paychecks? The stress of lying to my parents and friends about being a "consultant" was also getting to me. Finally, I said enough was enough. I quit in September 2011. Since then I've been working a series of unglamorous temp office jobs for lower pay. But at least I'm not making my living lying and heckling people who come online to express their views and exercise freedom of speech.

A few days ago I happened to be in the same neighborhood and on a whim thought I'd check out the old office. It turns out the operation is gone, having moved on. This, too, I understood, is part of their strategy: Don't stay in the same place for too long, don't keep the same name too long, move on after half a year or so. Keeping a low profile, finding new employees through word of mouth: All this is part of the shill way of life. But it is a deceptive way of life, and no matter how noble the goals (I remain pro-Israel, by the way), these sleazy means cannot be justified by the end.

This is my confession. I haven't made up my mind yet about whether I want to talk more about this, so if I don't respond to this thread, don't be angry. But I think you should know: Shills exist. They are real. They walk among you, and they pay special attention to your popular gold-bordered WATS posters. You should be aware of this. What you choose to do with this awareness is up to you.

Yours,

ExShill

April 2012"

I am proud to announce that the former shill revealed exactly what I was convinced of by the year of 2008. The above revelation confirmed that I did not make any exaggeration when I was trying to convince the innocent Internet auditorium about the shills' activities and their modus operandi.

Now, when I read the above confession, I could put my signature under every word of it – it is exactly the truth. The above description matches the reality exactly 100%. It contains no lie and not even exaggeration. Even the Godlike Productions forum where I personally used to battle the shills in reality is mentioned there...

As you can see from the above confession, the former shill who published it was specialized on derailing discussions dangerous to the state of Israel and his primary task was to suppress any anti-Israeli and anti-Jewish sentiment.

However, based on the abovementioned details, you can easily imagine that there must be a considerable number of the shills whose specialty is to derail dangerous 9/11 discussions – primarily the discussions that touch the two most "unwanted" aspects of the 9/11 truth:

- the so-called "no-plane" theory;
- and the fact that the WTC was demolished by underground nuclear explosions (hence the "ground zero" name).

From now on, please, understand:

- 1) The shills *do* exist.
- 2) The fact of the shills' existence is an extremely seditious issue, which must be kept secret from the gullible consumers of the Internet;
- 3) The shills are many; their total number is considerably big – bigger than you could imagine;
- 4) The shills are trained professionals; they are at least well-educated, moreover, shills are skilled in demagoguery and many of them are better in conducting an argument, than you, an untrained, and, moreover, unsuspecting innocent person;
- 5) The shills infest the Internet; their presence is considerable on every populated Internet forum;
- 6) The shills have much better cooperation with each other than innocent people; this allows the shills to seemingly "win" any and every argument they wish;
- 7) The shills can easily call for reinforcements – thus, easily gaining numerical superiority on any dangerous Internet-discussion and so being able to easily crush such a discussion;
- 8) The shills deeply study their subject first and so they indeed possess the required knowledge that could be used for both – for successful arguing and for appearing as "knowledgeable researchers" to innocent gullible people;
- 9) The shills are not only good in demagoguery and skilled in conducting an argument in general; the shills are knowledgeable in psychology and they know how to knock down their opponents by smearing and by insulting in case they can not defeat them by proper arguing;
- 10) The shills have very good salaries; their salaries are considerably higher than those of other professionals;
- 11) It is you, a gullible taxpayer, who actually pay the numerous shills their high salaries;
- 12) The shills receive their income from you and yet they dupe you, dear reader...

It is good to observe, that people began to wake up in regard to the shills during the year 2012. It means, that the shills were, at last, NOTICED by the community! This is really great news! For over 10 years the shills acted with unprecedented audacity and impunity – because gullible common people took the shills and “their opinions” for genuine things. It is no longer the case: the shills are being more and more often recognized these days by pretty ordinary, formerly unsuspecting people, who were, at last, made aware of the shills’ existence. Moreover, there are attempts to study the shills and to classify them, undertaken by serious researchers, who try to analyze the shills’ behavior and to publish their findings for the community.

I was really pleased to discover a great article on the shills published by a famous internet-journalist and a former U.S. military intelligence officer Gordon Duff in the “Veterans Today” on-line magazine. The article named **“Scamsters, Spies and Trolls, an Internet Story; Paid \$25 Per Hour to Infect Your Life”²** provided an interesting analysis of the shilling and trolling on the Internet. Gordon’s analyses are not limited to the typical forums/chatrooms activities of the shills, described above; he also mentions campaigns of harassment conducted by the shills, and even outright criminal enterprises run by the shills and their bosses in order to fund the actual shilling activities. I highly recommend everyone to read the abovementioned article. Such good articles on the shills and the trolls are still very rare (and we can understand *why they are very rare* – the shills still hold the numerical superiority on the most important web forums and they do all they can to prevent their opponents from publishing such things). However, I hope the community will wake up completely and that the exposed shills and trolls (and their actual methods) will get the due attention.

Just to add here my personal observation-contemplation and a bit of recent history.

You have to understand that freedom of speech was always dangerous for authorities. The authorities have always tried to control the freedom of speech whether directly or indirectly.

In the “good old days”, the control was easier because there was no mass-media in the modern sense. There were no means to address many people at once with some seditious statement. You could not publish any seditious thing in a newspaper because all newspapers either belonged to the so-called “good guys” or were controlled by them. As the last line of defense there was always an official censorship that would prohibit any dangerous publication. The same thing could be said about the radio. To operate a radio-transmitter you must have a license and you would never get the license if the so-called “good guys” were not sure about your loyalty and your adherence to “political correctness”.

When the first manual typewriters came into existence, they were not so “free” as you might think. They were sold only to authorized people, or, at least, their purchases were registered, so that each sold typewriter could be traced to a particular user (much in the same sense as a licensed firearm could be traced to a person who bought it from a gun-shop).

Moreover, it was obligatory in those “good old days” to take a “control print” from every typewriter and to keep it with the authorities (this served as more or less a “fingerprint” of any and every typewriter for forensic reasons, so in case the authorities would discover a seditious statement printed they could easily find the typewriter used and its owner).

Later, with the development of computer-connected printers and appearance of cheaper electrical typewriters, the authorities eased the control of these potentially seditious devices. However, by then, the general degradation of the Western society, affected by corrupting freedom of sex and by other easily available entertainments, by destructive rock music and by other aspects of the “mass-culture”, by alienating the younger generation from adults, and by an intentional decline in general education, made people much less susceptible to potentially seditious ideas. Therefore, the so-called “good guys” cared much less about the proliferation of typewriters and printers in the ‘50s than they used to care about the typewriters in the pre-WWII era.

Nonetheless, when easily affordable copying machines came into existence, the so-called “good guys” were alarmed again. Not before the middle of the ‘70s the copying machines were freed from any control. Before that, such machines were duly supervised in order not to allow some “rogue people” to use them for multiplying works of Stalin, Mao Tse-tung or Che Guevara, for example. Later, with the death of Mao

² <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2012/12/09/scamsters-spies-and-trolls-an-internet-story/>

and with further degradation of the Western society (which by the end of the '70s became even lesser susceptible to the potentially seditious ideas than it used to be in the '60s), the copying machines were deemed no longer dangerous and were freed from any state control whatsoever.

When it comes to the potential publishing of seditious statements in the printed mass-media, the so-called "good guys" did not worry much, since the mass-media belonged to them and even in the absence of the official governmental censorship they could still successfully censor any unwanted content (by simply ordering the owners of the mass-media to adhere to the "political correctness").

As you could imagine, in the situations described above there was simply no need to employ any shills.

However, it was all changed with the appearance of the free Internet. The so-called "good guys" could no longer control it.

What they could do in this case? Of course, the easiest thing is to prohibit the Internet. Unfortunately, it is impossible right now. Later, the so-called "good guys" will definitely prohibit, or, at least, severely restrict the Internet and you don't even have to doubt it – they already are doing it slowly but surely. For the time being, they have to combat the dangerous tendencies on the Internet. It is obvious.

How they could do it? Try to guess. The only way to do it is to employ the shills – trained professionals who could successfully derail dangerous discussions and help the so-called "good guys" to maintain at least some order on the Internet in one way or another.

Do you still doubt that the shills exist? Do not doubt it. Use your logic to understand that shills **MUST** exist in the present conditions and therefore they **DO** exist. And read the confession of the former shill quoted above one more time.

Coming back to the professional 9/11 conspiracy theorists and the full-time "9/11 truth-seekers". You don't have to doubt that many of those "9/11 truth-seeking scholars" are merely secret government agents secretly tasked with never allowing the "truth-seekers" under their command to find any truth. This is a very sad fact, but it is the fact and we have to live with it. The same thing could probably be said about the moderators and owners of many of the more prominent Internet forums specializing on 9/11 – *they are shills*; whether you like it or not. Once you finish reading this book and get its main point, you will be surprised how ignorant many of those 9/11 truth-seekers actually are, despite their endless and seemingly "genuine" efforts to dig to the truth that last, to remind you, well over a decade...

As I have already warned above, most of "professional" 9/11 conspiracy theorists, those who routinely spend their precious time chatting in various Internet forums and otherwise parasitizing on the 9/11 topic, without any doubt will be greatly annoyed by this book. They will be annoyed not because my claims in this book are inconsistent, but because of the diametrically opposite reason: because my claims are logical and consistent, thus leaving no room for them to continue to parasitize on the 9/11 topic which they got used to during the last 10 years.

Try to understand them – they got used to chewing on various conspiracy theories in regard to 9/11, routinely spending many hours per day sticking in various Internet forums and even sitting physically in various "truth-finding" 9/11-related societies. This became their life-style. It does not matter that during their 10-year long "research" they managed not to even come close to the truth. This apparent failure does not bother them – despite the fact those 10 years were enough to complete two additional university courses with 2 master-degrees per each of them. What matters is the very process – to chew on various conspiracy theories has become a self-purpose...

Therefore when someone attempts to steal their favorite chews from their mouths by replacing it with a healthy, delicious, but one-time only meal, it understandably causes their displeasure and their displeasure could be, in fact, very intense.

It is easy to understand them. Just try to imagine yourself in their shoes – imagine that you got used to spending all your time chatting with other 9/11 conspiracy theorists for the last 10 years, and now, at last, you have gotten the point and therefore beginning from tomorrow there is nothing else to discuss on 9/11-related Internet forums... The 9/11 battle is clearly over, the case is closed, and you have to come back to your normal life – and so to spend your future efforts on renovating your house, for example, or to devote some time to your kids, at last. Can you imagine your indignation in such a case?

Therefore, do not be surprised when this book will be attacked from every side. The government agents

and shills will attack it with one (understandable one) reason in mind, while “professional” 9/11 conspiracy theorists (the absolute majority of whom are government shills too) will lash out at it with either the same reason in mind, or merely out of jealousy, or simply because of their annoyance that someone dared to steal their beloved toys and so to deprive them of their favorite pastimes...

But who cares about their problems and feelings? *Dogs bark, caravan moves on.*

These folks had their sick fun for more than 10 years and that should be enough. Even they knew very well, they could not continue their cheating forever and one day all fruits of their efforts would be flushed down the lavatory when the truth would be revealed.

Now the time has come. The truth is available and you have a chance to get acquainted with it and judge it yourself. Just read the book and you will have your own ideas.

D. A. Khalezov

9/11thology:



**The “third” truth about 9/11
or
Defending the US Government,
which has only the first two...**



Full Edition (not fully proofed) v.4. July 2013.

D. A. Khalezov

9/11thology:

V for Vendetta and R for Reality.

**The “third” truth about 9/11,
or
Defending the US Government, which
has only the first two...**

DETECTIVE STORY WITHOUT FICTION



or

The first exact book that offers a full course on a new exact science:

“9/11thology”

(Intended exclusively for free-minded students)

Full 4th edition of the “9/11thology” book.



About author



Dimitri A. Khalezov.

About author:

Mr. Dimitri A. Khalezov, a former Soviet citizen, a former commissioned officer of the so-called “military unit 46179”, otherwise known as “the Special Control Service” of the 12th Chief Directorate of the Defense Ministry of the USSR. The Special Control Service, also known as the Soviet atomic (later “nuclear”) intelligence was a secret military unit responsible for detecting of nuclear explosions (including underground nuclear tests) of various adversaries of the former USSR as well as responsible for controlling of observance of various international treaties related to nuclear testing and to peaceful nuclear explosions. After September the 11th Khalezov undertook some extensive 9/11 research and proved that the Twin Towers of World Trade Center as well as its building 7 were demolished by three underground thermonuclear explosions – which earned the very name “ground zero” to the demolition site. Moreover, he testifies that he knew about the in-built so-called “emergency nuclear demolitions scheme” of the Twin Towers as long ago as back in the ‘80s – while being a serviceman in the Soviet Special Control Service.